11W AF (09-04) 2-137

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE on of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. work Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond Application Number 09/478,254 RANSMITTAL Filing Date January 6, 2000 First Named Inventor **FORM** Zuccherato Art Unit 2137 **Examiner Name** Matthew Smithers (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number 0500 9906162

Total Number of Pages in This Submission 0300.3900162				
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)				
Amendm Amendm Extension Express Informati Certified Docume Reply to Incomple	nsmittal Form Fee Attached hent/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) In of Time Request Abandonment Request on Disclosure Statement Copy of Priority Int(s) Missing Parts/ Atte Application Reply to Missing Parts Inder 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revoca Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on Remarks	tion e Address	After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): -return postcard
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C.				
Signature // Tank Meshamo				
Printed name Christopher J. Reckamp				
Date	March 25, 2005		Reg. No.	34,414
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with				
sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature Christian A' Whicht				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Christine A. Wright

Typed or printed name

Date

March 25, 2005



PATENT APPLICATION

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Zuccherato et al.

Examiner:

Matthew Smithers

Serial No.:

Mail Stop AF

P. O. Box 1450

Commissioner for Patents

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

09/478,254

Art Group:

2137 Our File No.: 10500.00.6162

Filing Date: Confirmation No.:

January 6, 2000 1450

Docket No.:

0500.9906162

Title: SHARED DATA INITIALIZATION QUERY SYSTEM AND METHOD

Certificate of First Class Mailing

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450, on

this date.

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

In response to the final office action mailed December 28, 2004, Applicants submit the following remarks.

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully traverse and request reconsideration.

Applicants attorney wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended during the telephone conferences regarding the final action. The Examiner indicated that the reasoning given in the "Response to Arguments" section should be the reasons for rejection to be addressed by Applicants.

Applicants also wish to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 2, 7, 8, 12 and 14 are objected to but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.